Summary and Response to Comments: Negative Declaration and Initial Study Evaluating the Adoption of Rigid Plastic Packaging Container Program Regulation Revisions, November 2011.

Comment Number	Commenter	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions Needed	Chapter/ Section
Public Comment Period: November 4 – December 5, 2011 Note: CalRecycle received one comment letter containing three comments.					
01	Mark Murray, Californians Against Waste	We agree that the proposed project to revise existing RPPC Program Regulations will not have a negative impact on the environment. As the Negative Declaration states, and as CAW has noted many times before, the supply of postconsumer plastic resin more than exceeds the demand. There are currently more than 275,000 tons of plastics collected for recycling. If all of these plastic containers sold in California had to meet a 25% recycled content requirement, manufacturers would need about 200,000 tons of plastic.	No response needed	No	NA
02	Mark Murray, Californians Against Waste	This Negative Declaration provides evidence that the changes to the RPPC Program Regulations would not result in increased greenhouse gas emissions or other environmental impacts. In fact, the revisions to include 357.2 million more regulated RPPCs under the program and to increase postconsumer, recyclable resin utilized in RPPCs would result in a positive environmental effect. As the Negative Declaration has determined, the use of virgin plastic resin results in an average of 63% more greenhouse gas emissions compared to the use of recycled plastic resin. It would have a net environmental benefit by avoiding 68.08 million pounds of carbon dioxide equivalent from the use of virgin plastic.	No response needed	No	NA
03	Mark Murray, Californians Against Waste	CAW strongly urges the adoption of the Negative Declaration and revisions to the RPPC Program Regulations.	No response needed	No	NA